1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CEDARVILLE RANCHERIA, No. CIV. S-12-3046 LKK/CMK 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER v. 14 CEDARVILLE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, DOES 1 through 100, 15 inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 18 The parties have filed a "Joint Request" to approve their 19 stipulation extending the deadline for filing rebuttals to expert 20 witness disclosures by thirty (30) days. (ECF No. 23.) The Joint Request does not show good cause for the court to 21 22 alter, or permit exceptions to, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) 23 Conference Order ("Scheduling Order") of March 13, 2013. 24 If the parties wish to make informal arrangements regarding discovery deadlines, they are free to do so. However, any such 25 26 consensual modifications to the Scheduling Order will not be 27 enforced by the court. 28 //// 1

1	Accordingly, the Joint Request is DENIED.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	DATED: November 22, 2013.
4	
5	
6	Laure K Kertter
7	LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	