

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SABRINA SANCHEZ,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

No. 2:12-cv-3086 JAM GGH PS

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL -  
LEGISLATIVE DATA CENTER,  
Defendant.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_/

By order filed April 12, 2013, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and twenty-eight days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. In that order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in her complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Plaintiff has apparently decided to rest on the dismissed complaint. For the reasons given in the April 12, 2013 order, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s  
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the  
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: June 3, 2013

6

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8

9 GGH:076/Sanchez3086.fta.wpd

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26