

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

NO. CIV. S-12-3107 LKK/GGH

v.

HOLLINS LAW, A PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION,

O R D E R

Defendant.

_____ /

Defendant Hollins Law has filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 35.) This motion was originally scheduled to be heard on August 26, 2013, but was continued by court order until September 9, 2013. (ECF No. 42.)

On August 12, 2013, plaintiff Michael Davis filed an opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment, as well as a cross-motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 43.)

As required by Local Rule 260(a), defendant included a Statement of Undisputed Facts with its motion. (ECF No. 36.)

Local Rule 260(b) requires "[a]ny party opposing a motion for summary judgment . . . [to] reproduce the itemized facts in the

1 Statement of Undisputed Facts and admit those facts that are
2 undisputed and deny those that are disputed, including with each
3 denial a citation to the particular portions of any pleading,
4 affidavit, deposition, interrogatory answer, admission, or other
5 document relied upon in support of that denial." It appears that
6 plaintiff has failed to satisfy this requirement in its filings to
7 date.

8 Accordingly, the court hereby ORDERS plaintiff to file, no
9 later than 4:30 p.m. on August 19, 2013, a response to defendant's
10 Statement of Undisputed Facts which satisfies the requirements of
11 Local Rule 260(b).


12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED: August 16, 2013.

14

15

16


LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
SENIOR JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26