1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, No. 2:13-cv-0118 KJM GGH PS 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. ORDER 13 PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., Defendants. 15 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was referred to this court by E.D. Cal. L.R. 302(c)(21), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, No. 2:13-cv-0118 KJM GGH PS 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. ORDER 13 PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, No. 2:13-cv-0118 KJM GGH PS 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. ORDER 13 PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, Plaintiff, V. ORDER PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, Plaintiff, V. ORDER PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, No. 2:13-cv-0118 KJM GGH PS 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. ORDER 13 PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, Plaintiff, V. ORDER PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
9 10 WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, No. 2:13-cv-0118 KJM GGH PS 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. ORDER 13 PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
WILLIAM J. WHITSITT, Plaintiff, V. ORDER PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
Plaintiff, V. ORDER PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
12 v. ORDER 13 PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
PATRICIO ENTERPRISES, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
Defendants. 15 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding was	
Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action. This proceeding wa	
referred to this court by E.D. Cal. L.R. 302(c)(21), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	ıs
On September 30, 2013, the court ordered the United States Marshal to serve process	
19 upon the defendant in this case within ninety days. The waiver of service form indicates that	
defendant was notified that failure to waive service of summons would result in a requiremen	t
21 that defendant bear costs of such service unless it shows good cause for failure to return the	
22 waiver. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(1); 28 U.S.C. §566(c).	
On March 11, 2014, the United States Marshal filed a return of service with a USM-28	35
form showing total charges of \$164.32 for effecting personal service on defendant New Breed	1
Logistics. The form shows that a waiver of service form was mailed to the defendant on	
December 10, 2013, and that no response was received.	
27 /////	
28 /////	

1	Rule 4(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides, in pertinent part, as follows:
2	An individual, corporation, or association that is subject to service
3	under Rule 4(e), (f), or (h) has a duty to avoid unnecessary expenses of serving the summons
4 5	If a defendant located within the United States fails, without good cause, to sign and return a waiver requested by a plaintiff located
6	within the United States, the court must impose on the defendant:
7	(A) the expenses later incurred in making service; and
8	(B) the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, of any motion required to collect those service expenses.
9	Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(d)(1), (2)(A), (B).
10	The court finds that defendant New Breed Logistics was given the opportunity required by
11	Rule 4(d) to waive service and has failed to comply with the request.
12	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
13	1. Within fourteen days from the date of this order defendant New Breed Logistics shall
14	pay to the United States Marshal the sum of \$164.32, unless within that time defendant files a
15	written statement showing good cause for its failure to waive service. The court does not intend
16	to extend this fourteen day period.
17	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the U.S. Marshal.
18	3. The Clerk shall serve this order on New Breed Logistics at the address indicated on the
19	filed process form.
20	Dated: March 17, 2014
21	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
22	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23	GGH:076/Whitsitt0118.usm-cost
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	