## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 VAN MORIN,

Plaintiff, No. 2:13-cv-0136 DAD

12 vs.

HURLIMAN BOAT REPAIR, et al., ORDER SETTING STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)

Defendants. <u>CONFERENCE</u>

Each of the parties in the above-captioned case have consented to proceed before the assigned magistrate judge. By order filed April 3, 2013, the action was reassigned to the undersigned.

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for Friday, June 7, 2013, at
   10:00 a.m., at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in
   Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned.
- 2. Each party is required to appear at the Status Conference either by counsel or, if proceeding <u>in propria persona</u>, on his own behalf. Any party may appear at the status conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the

1	courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hour	
2	before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a land line telephone number must be	
3	provided by the party requesting telephonic appearance.	
4	3. Plaintiff shall file and serve a status report on or before May 24, 2013, and	
5	defendants shall file and serve a status report on or before May 31, 2013. Each party's status	
6	report shall address all of the following matters:	
7	a.	Progress of service of process;
8	b.	Possible joinder of additional parties;
9	c.	Possible amendment of the pleadings;
10	d.	Jurisdiction and venue;
11	e.	Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
12	f.	Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof, including disclosure of expert witnesses;
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	g.	Future proceedings, including the setting of appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and for law and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial conference and trial;
16 17	h.	Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due to the relative simplicity or complexity of the action;
18	i.	Whether the case is related to any other case,
19		including matters in bankruptcy;
judge assigned to this matter acting	Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned to this matter acting as settlement	
21	judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of his so acting, or whether they prefer to have a Settlement Conference before another magistrate judge; and	
22		
23	k.	Any other matters that may aid in the just and expeditious
24		disposition of this action.
25	/////	
26	/////	

1	4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report, or failure to appear		
2	at the status conference either in person or telephonically, may result in an order imposing an		
3	appropriate sanction. See Local Rules 110 and 183.		
4	DATED: April 17, 2013.		
5	22.		
6	Dale A. Dryd  DALE A. DROZD		
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	DAD:6 Ddad1\orders.consent\morin0136.ossc		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			