1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JERRY WAYNE GENTRY,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:13-cv-0142 KJN P
12	VS.
13	RANDY GROUNDS,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
15 16	/ Petitioner requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
	/ Petitioner requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See Nevius v. Sumner</u> , 105 F.3d
16	
16 17	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d
16 17 18	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See Nevius v. Sumner</u> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at
16 17 18 19	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See Nevius v. Sumner</u> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing</u>
16 17 18 19 20	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See Nevius v. Sumner</u> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See Rule 8(c)</u> , Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See Nevius v. Sumner</u> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See Rule 8(c)</u> , Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See Nevius v. Sumner</u> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See Rule 8(c)</u> , Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. <u>See Nevius v. Sumner</u> , 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See Rule 8(c)</u> , Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for
2	appointment of counsel (dkt. no. 2) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a
3	later stage of the proceedings.
4	DATED: February 1, 2013
5	KENDALL I. NEWMAN
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	gent0142.110
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

I