

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREGORY ASHMORE,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:13-cv-0159 KJM DAD PS

v.

WALGREENS,

Defendant,

ORDER SETTING STATUS
(PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)
CONFERENCE

_____ /

Plaintiff, Gregory Ashmore, is proceeding pro se in the above entitled action. The action has therefore been referred to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21) for all purposes encompassed by that rule.

Defendant Walgreens has filed an answer. Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday, April 5, 2013, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned.

2. Each party is required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or, if proceeding in propria persona, on his own behalf. Any party may appear at the status

1 conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the
2 courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours
3 before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a land line telephone number must be
4 provided.

5 3. Plaintiff shall file and serve a status report on or before **March 22, 2013**, and
6 defendant shall file and serve a status report on or before **March 29, 2013**. Each party's status
7 report shall address all of the following matters:

- 8 a. Progress of service of process;
- 9 b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
- 10 c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
- 11 d. Jurisdiction and venue;
- 12 e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
- 13 f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof,
14 including disclosure of expert witnesses;
- 15 g. Future proceedings, including the setting of
16 appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and for law
17 and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial
18 conference and trial;
- 19 h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures
20 specified by the rules due to the relative simplicity
21 or complexity of the action;
- 22 i. Whether the case is related to any other case,
23 including matters in bankruptcy;
- 24 j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate
25 judge assigned to this matter acting as settlement
26 judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of his
so acting, or whether they prefer to have a
Settlement Conference before another magistrate
judge;
- 27 k. Whether the parties intend to consent to proceed before a
United States Magistrate Judge; and
- 28 l. Any other matters that may aid in the just and
expeditious disposition of this action.

1 4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to appear
2 at the status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction. See Local
3 Rules 110 and 183.

4 DATED: February 6, 2013.

5
6 
7 _____
8 DALE A. DROZD
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 DAD:6
11 Ddad1\orders.pro se\ashmore0159.ossc

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26