1	MARLIN & SALTZMAN, LLP	
2	Christina A. Humphrey, Esq. (SBN 226326) Leslie H. Joyner, Esq. (SBN 262705)	
3	29229 Canwood Street, Suite 208 Agoura Hills, California 91301	
4	Telephone: (818) 991-8080 Facsimile: (818) 991-8081	
5	<u>chumphrey@marlinsaltzman.com</u> <u>ljoyner@marlinsaltzmna.com</u>	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	
8	Brandon R. McKelvey, Esq. (SBN 217002) Timothy B. Nelson, Esq. (SBN 235279)	
9	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2350 Sacramento, California 95814-4428	
10	Telephone: (916) 448-0159 Facsimile: (916) 558-4839	
11	bmckelvey@seyfarth.com tnelson@seyfarth.com	
12	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	
13	Thomas J. Piskorski, Esq. ( <i>admitted pro hac vice</i> 131 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2400	P)
14	Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 460-5000	
15	Facsimile: (312) 460-7000 tpiskorski@seyfarth.com	
16	Attorneys for Defendant	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SACRAMENTO DIVISION	
19	SALVADOR ROBLES, individually and on	) CASE NO. 2:13-CV-00161-JAM-AC
20	behalf of others similarly situated,	)
21	71.1.100	) <u>CLASS ACTION</u> )
22	Plaintiffs, v.	) STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: FIRST ) AMENDED COMPLAINT AND
23	COMTRAK LOGISTICS, INC., a Delaware	RELATED MATTERS
24	corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	)
25	inclusive,	) )
26	Defendants.	) )
27		, )
28		

1	STIPULATION		
2	WHEREAS, on January 25, 2013, Plaintiff filed his Complaint.		
3	WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss the entire action on March 26, 2013,		
4	with a noticed hearing date of May 15, 2013;		
5	WHEREAS, Plaintiff anticipated filing a First Amended Complaint the same time		
6	Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss, in order to add the same individual labor code claims on		
7	behalf of Plaintiff Robles for the time he spent as an employee of Defendant;		
8	WHEREAS, on April 15, 2013, the parties filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order RE:		
9	Tolling Agreement and Related Matters seeking to preserve the May 15, 2013, hearing date on		
10	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and to preserve Plaintiff's right to file a First Amended Complaint		
11	pursuant to Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 15(a)(1)(B) after the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss;		
12	WHEREAS, the deadline for Plaintiff to file a First Amended Complaint as a matter of righ		
13	pursuant to Fed. Rule Civ. Proc. 15(a)(1)(B) was April 16, 2013;		
14	WHEREAS, the parties have reached the following agreement, each subpart below being		
15	material and necessary to the entire agreement;		
16	THEREFORE, the parties, through their respective counsel of record, agree to stipulate that		
17	(a) Defendant will withdraw its current Motion to Dismiss;		
18	(b) Plaintiff will file a First Amended Complaint within fourteen (14) days of the date this		
19	Stipulation is filed; and		
20	(c) Defendant will have twenty-eight (28) days from the date Plaintiff files his First Amended		
21	Complaint to file its responsive pleading.		
22	Following which the normal briefing deadlines will govern, tied to the hearing date for the		
23	anticipated motion to dismiss.		
24	All parties further agree that the parties' following arguments are reserved, and not in any		
25	way impacted by this Stipulation:		
26	(a) Plaintiff reserves the right to argue that Plaintiff's labor code claims relating to his		
27	status as an employee relate back to the January 25, 2013, filing date of the original Complaint; and		
28			

1	(b) Defendant's arguments t	hat Plaintiff's claims do not relate back to the January 25,	
2	2013, filing date of the original Complaint, and all other defenses and arguments which Defendant		
3	had available as of the date this Stipulation is filed with the Court. Defendant also reserves all		
4	other available arguments in response to the original Complaint and any First Amended Complaint.		
5			
6	DATED: April 22, 2013	MARLIN & SALTZMAN, LLP	
7			
8		By: /S/ Christina A. Humphrey Christina A. Humphrey, Esq.	
10		Leslie H. Joyner, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11			
12	DATED: April 22, 2013	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	
13			
14		By: <u>/S/ Timothy B. Nelson</u> Thomas J. Piskorski	
15 16		Brandon R. McKelvey Timothy B. Nelson Attorneys for Defendant	
17		Attorneys for Defendant	
18		ORDER	
19	Pursuant to stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore, <b>IT IS SO</b>		
20	ORDERED.	Tribung control of the second control of the	
21			
22			
23	DATED: 4/23/2013	/s/ John A. Mendez	
24		JOHN A. MENDEZ	
25		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
26			
27			
28			
		-3-	