(PC)Baker v. Marsh et al

Doc. 4

1 | i
2 | v
3 | 2
4 | v

incarcerated that were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See* (1) *Baker v. Todd*, 2:10-cv-1827 MCE EFB (E.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2011) (order dismissing action for failure to state a claim); (2) *Baker v. Jones*, 2:12-cv-0404 WBS EFB (E.D. Cal. Sept. 18, 2012) (order dismissing action for failure to prosecute and failure to state a claim); and (3) *Baker v. Marsh*, 2:12-cv-0555 GEB EFB (E.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2012) (order dismissing action for failure to prosecute and failure to state a claim).

Further, it does not appear that plaintiff was under imminent threat of serious physical injury when he filed the complaint. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); *Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493 F.3d 1047, 1055 (9th Cir. Cal. 2007) (section 1915(g) imminent danger exception applies where complaint makes a "plausible" allegation that prisoner faced imminent danger of serious physical injury at the time of filing). In the complaint, plaintiff seeks damages and injunctive relief on the grounds he is being denied dental floss. Dckt. No. 1. Plaintiff's allegations do not demonstrate that he suffered from imminent danger of serious physical injury at the time he filed his complaint. Thus, the imminent danger exception does not apply.

Because plaintiff has not paid the filing fee and is not eligible to proceed in forma pauperis, this action must be dismissed.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action.

Further, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice to re-filing upon pre-payment of the \$350 filing fee. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a), 1915(g).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections

within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v*. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: February 5, 2013. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE