UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
YOW MING YEH,	No. 2:13-cv-262-TLN-EFB P
Petitioner,	
V.	<u>ORDER</u>
JOHN DOE WARDEN,	
Respondent.	
Petitioner is a state prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. See 28	
U.S.C. § 2254. On July 3, 2013, respondent filed a motion to dismiss on the grounds that the	
petition is untimely and that petitioner failed to exhaust available state judicial remedies.	
Petitioner has not filed an opposition or a statement of no opposition to respondent's motion to	
dismiss.	
A responding party's failure "to file wi	ritten opposition or to file a statement of no
opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may	
result in the imposition of sanctions." L. R. 230(1). Failure to comply with any order or with the	
Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or	
Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." L. R. 110. The court may dismiss this action	
with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See	
Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th C	Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN E YOW MING YEH, Petitioner, v. JOHN DOE WARDEN, Respondent. Petitioner is a state prisoner without co U.S.C. § 2254. On July 3, 2013, respondent fi petition is untimely and that petitioner failed to Petitioner has not filed an opposition or a state dismiss. A responding party's failure "to file we opposition may be deemed a waiver of any op result in the imposition of sanctions." L. R. 23 Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of Rule or within the inherent power of the Court with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a particular states of the court with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a particular states of the court with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a particular states of the court with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a particular states of the court with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a particular states of the court with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a particular states of the court with or without prejudice states of the court state

1	dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended
2	complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439,
3	1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule
4	regarding notice of change of address affirmed).
5	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 21 days of the date of this order,
6	petitioner shall file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of no opposition.
7	Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed
8	without prejudice.
9	Dated: August 14, 2013.
10	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	<u> </u>