1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JOHN McCLINTOCK,	No. 2:13-cv-0264 TLN DB P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT</u> <u>CONFERENCE</u>	
14	COLOSIMO, et al.,	CONFERENCE	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights		
18	action under 42 U.S.C. §1983. The court has determined that this case will benefit from a		
19	settlement conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Kendall J.		
20	Newman to conduct a settlement conference at the U.S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento,		
21	California 95814 in Courtroom #25 on May 10, 2018 at 9:00 a.m.		
22	Plaintiff shall have the option to appear at the settlement conference in person or by video		
23	conference. In the event video conferencing capabilities are unavailable, plaintiff may appear by		
24	telephone. Plaintiff will be required to return the attached form advising the court how he would		
25	like to appear at the settlement conference so that the court may issue the appropriate orders. A		
26	separate order and writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum will issue once it has been determined		
27	how plaintiff will appear.		
28	////		
		1	

1	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:			
2	1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Kendall J.			
3	Newman on May 10, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. at the U.S. District Court, 501 I Street,			
4	Sacramento, California 95814 in Courtroom #25.			
5	2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding			
6	settlement on the defendants' behalf shall attend in person. <sup>1</sup>			
7	3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.			
8	The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in			
9	person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not			
10	proceed and will be reset to another date.			
11	4. Plaintiff shall have the choice to attend the settlement conference in person or by			
12	video. Within ten days after the filing date of this order, plaintiff shall return the			
13	attached form notifying the court whether he would like to attend the settlement			
14	conference in person or by video. If plaintiff chooses to appear by video and video			
15	conferencing is not available, he may appear by telephone. If plaintiff does not return			
16	the form telling the court how he would like to attend the conference, the court will			
17	issue orders for plaintiff to appear by video.			
18				
19	<sup>1</sup> While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory			
20	settlement conferences" United States v. United States District Court for the Northern			
21	Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2012)("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full			
22	authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u> , 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), <u>cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss</u> , 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l			
23				
24				
25				
26	<u>Inc.</u> , 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the			
27	face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u> , 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle.			
28	<u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.</u> , 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 2001).			
	2			

1	5. The parties are directed to exchange non-confidential settlement statements seven days			
2	prior to the settlement conference. These statements shall simultaneously be delivered			
3	to the court using the following email address: <u>kjnorders@caed.uscourts.gov</u> . Plaintiff			
4	shall mail his non-confidential settlement statement Attn: Magistrate Judge Kendall J.			
5	Newman, USDC CAED, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, CA 95814 so that it			
6	arrives at least seven (7) days prior to the settlement conference. The envelope shall			
7	be marked "SETTLEMENT STATEMENT." The date and time of the settlement			
8	conference shall be prominently indicated on the settlement statement. If a party			
9	desires to share additional confidential information with the court, they may do so			
10	pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 270(d) and (e).			
11	Dated: March 6, 2018			
12	Kurster			
13	fillioners			
14	DEBORAH BARNES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
15				
16				
17	DLB:12 DL D:1 (Orders (Drivener Civil Dichts/mac)0264 set conf			
18	DLB:1/Orders/Prisoner-Civil Rights/mccl0264.set.conf			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	3			

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	JOHN McCLINTOCK,	No. 2:13-cv-0264 TLN DB P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE ON TYPE OF APPEARANCE AT SETTLEMENT
13	COLOSIMO, et al.,	<u>CONFERENCE</u>
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Check one:	
17		
18	Plaintiff would like to participate in the settlement conference in person.	
19		
20	Plaintiff would like to participate in the settlement conference by video/telephone.	
21		
22		
23		
24	Date	John McClintock
25		Plaintiff pro se
26		
27		
28		4
		4