

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MR. C. B. HICKMAN, SR.,

Petitioner,

No. 2:13-cv-0300 JFM P

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

ORDER AND

Respondents.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

By order filed July 11, 2013, petitioner’s application was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended application was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and petitioner has not filed an amended application or otherwise responded to the court’s order.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign this action to a United States District Judge; and

IT IIS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s

1 Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
2 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Petitioner is advised that failure to file
3 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order.
4 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: July 23, 2013

6
7 
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 12
10 hick0300.ftahab

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26