

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TERRELL D. HALL,
Plaintiff,
v.
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY JAIL, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:13-cv-0324 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

In light of the Ninth Circuit’s recent decision in Williams v. King, 875 F.3d 500 (9th Cir. 2017) (no magistrate judge jurisdiction based on plaintiff’s consent alone), the dismissal of defendant Palmer and plaintiff’s claims related to his housing assignment, failure to protect, defamation, and officers “trying to incite something” will be vacated, and for the reasons outlined in the August 12, 2013 screening order (ECF No. 24), the undersigned will recommend to the assigned District Judge that defendant Palmer and plaintiff’s claims related to his housing assignment, failure to protect, defamation, and officers “trying to incite something” be dismissed without leave to amend. The dismissal of defendants Lopez and Nelson for failure to timely effect service will also be vacated, and for the reasons set forth in the October 18, 2016 order (ECF No. 109), the undersigned will recommend that Lopez and Nelson be dismissed without prejudice.

///

