1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GEORGE K. COLBERT,	No. 2:13-cv-0382 KJM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	D. LEININGER, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		I
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. §	
18	1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require	
19	counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist.	
20	Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney	
21	to voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d	
22	1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).	
23	When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's	
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro	
25	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <u>Palmer v. Valdez</u> , 560 F.3d 965, 970	
26	(9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). The	
27	burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. <u>Id.</u> Circumstances	

establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel.

In the instant case, plaintiff seeks to justify the appointment of counsel on the grounds that (i) he is unable to afford an attorney, (ii) he has limited access to the law library, (iii) he possesses limited legal skills and knowledge, and (iv) he is presently in administrative segregation. (ECF No. 60 at 1-2.) The first three circumstances are common to almost all prisoners, while the fourth is shared by many prisoners who litigate cases in this court. Therefore, having considered the factors under <u>Palmer</u>, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel at this time.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 60) is denied without prejudice.

Dated: October 19, 2015

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/colb0382.31kjn