1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	GEORGE K. COLBERT,	No. 2:13-cv-0382 KJM KJN P
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
15	D. LEININGER, et al.,	CONFERENCE
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights action pursuant	
19	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement	
20	conference. Therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Michael J. Seng to conduct	
21	a settlement conference at California State Prison, Corcoran, 4001 King Avenue, Corcoran,	
22	California 93212 on June 24, 2016.	
23	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
24	1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Michael J. Seng	
25	on June 24, 2016, at California State Prison, Corcoran, 4001 King Avenue, Corcoran,	
26	California 93212. The start time for the settlement conference will be determined at a	
27	later date and will be set by separate order.	
28	////	

- 2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement on the defendants' behalf shall attend in person.¹
- 3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.
 The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.
- 4. Each party shall provide a confidential settlement statement to the following email address: mjsorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement to Sujean Park, ADR & Pro Bono Program Director, USDC CAED, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, California 95814. The envelope shall be marked "Confidential Settlement Statement". Settlement statements shall arrive no later than June 17, 2016. Parties shall also file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Conference Statement (See Local Rule 270(d)).

Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:

While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences...." <u>United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands</u>, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012)("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G.

Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.,

²⁰⁰³ WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u>, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. <u>Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.</u>, 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).