

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRANK J. WILLIAMS,

Petitioner,

No. 2:13-cv-0417 KJN P

vs.

D. PARAMO,

Respondent.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

By order filed April 4, 2013, petitioner was granted thirty days in which to file a petition for writ of habeas corpus, and application to proceed in forma pauperis or the court's filing fee. Thirty days have now passed, and petitioner has not filed a petition, an application or paid the filing fee, or otherwise responded to the court's order.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: May 17, 2013

6
7 
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 will0417.fta

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26