

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH SMITH ARDELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

SACRAMENTO METRO PAROLE
DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.

No. 2:13-cv-00421 GEB JFM

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed May 31, 2013, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. (ECF No. 5.) Thirty days from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified

////

1 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
2 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: July 16, 2013

4 

5 _____
6 DALE A. DROZD
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 arde0421.fta
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28