1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10	LEON E. MORRIS,							
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:13-cv-0434 WBS CKD P							
12	VS.							
13	A. GUTTEE, et al.,							
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>							
15	/							
15 16	/ On March 20, 2013, plaintiff filed a document the court construes as a request for							
16								
16 17	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the							
16 17 18	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the filing fee for this action. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be							
16 17 18 19	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the filing fee for this action. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court							
16 17 18 19 20	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the filing fee for this action. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary							
16 17 18 19 20 21	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the filing fee for this action. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.							
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the filing fee for this action. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.							
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the filing fee for this action. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.							
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the filing fee for this action. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.							
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 11, 2013 directing plaintiff to pay the filing fee for this action. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.							

Therefore,	IT IS	HEREBY	ORDERED	that,	upon reco	nsideration,	the	order	of the)

2 magistrate judge filed March 11, 2013, is affirmed.

3 DATED: April 19, 2013

Ahrt

WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE