1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RICHARD V. ROOD,	No. 2:13-CV-0478-DMC-P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	GARY SWARTHOUT, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to	
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rules, this case was not	
19	assigned to a District Judge when the case was filed. The parties have not consented to	
20	Magistrate Judge jurisdiction and the court now finds that assignment of a District Judge is	
21	necessary to properly address the case.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to	
23	randomly assign a District Judge and to update the docket to reflect the new case number.	
24		\sim
25	Dated: June 5, 2019	She
26		DENNIS M. COTA
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1