

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KURT DINESO ANDRILLION,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:13-cv-0558 EFB P

vs.

CORRECTIONS CORPORATION  
OF AMERICA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_/

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, though he has not submitted a certified copy of his trust account statement or the institutional equivalent.

A civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, must be brought in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

////

1 Here, defendants are located in and plaintiff's claim arose in Arizona. Therefore, in the  
2 interest of justice, this action is transferred to the United States District Court for the District of  
3 Arizona. See 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a); *Starnes v. McGuire*, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). All  
4 dates and deadlines set in this action are vacated.

5 So ordered.

6 Dated: April 1, 2013.



7 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26