

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT I. REESE, JR.,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:13-cv-0559 JAM DAD

ORDER


On March 20, 2015, this matter came before the undersigned for hearing of plaintiff's motion for leave to exceed the deposition limit and motion to compel. Attorney Stewart Katz appeared on behalf of the plaintiff and attorneys John Whitefleet and Taylor Rhoan appeared on behalf of the defendants.

Upon consideration of the arguments on file and at the hearing, and for the reasons set forth on the record at the hearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's motion for leave to exceed the ten deposition limit (Dkt. No. 32) is granted in part and denied in part as stated on the record; and
2. Plaintiff's motion to compel (Dkt. No. 33) is denied without prejudice to renewal.

Dated: March 21, 2015

DAD:6

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1 Ddad1\orders.civil\reese0559.oah.032015.docx

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28