I

1	
1	
2 3	
4	
- 5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DANIEL DuPONT,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:13-cv-00606 DAD P
12	VS.
13	V. SINGH, ORDER AND
14	Respondent. <u>FINDINGS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By an order filed April 4, 2013, petitioner was ordered to submit the appropriate
17	filing fee or file an application to proceed in forma pauperis within thirty days and was cautioned
18	that failure to do so would result in the dismissal of this action. The thirty day period has now
19	expired, and petitioner has not responded to the court's order and has not submitted the filing fee
20	or filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to
22	randomly assign this case to a District Judge.
23	Also, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
24	prejudice.
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
	1

1	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed within fourteen
4	days after service of the objections. Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
5	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
6	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
7	DATED: May 13, 2013.
8	2
9	Dale A. Dropt
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	DAD:4
12	dupo606.fifp
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I

I