28

argument. E.D. Cal. R. 230(q).

1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 SANDRA WILLIAMS, and KAREN 7 BURTON, (aka, K.M. BURTON, 2:13-cv-00631-GEB-DAD Notary Public) individually, and 8 in her official capacity as a CALIFORNIA COMMISSION NOTARY ORDER DENYING EX PARTE MOTION 9 FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING PUBLIC, ORDER AND PRELIMINARY 10 INJUNCTION' Plaintiffs, 11 V. 12 JOSEPH M. CANNING, INDIVIDUALLY, AND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS 13 AN OFFICER OF THE COURT; SOL HOMES, A DOMESTIC LLC; DARE 14 DELOREFICE INDIVIDUALLY; TREASURY BANK, ET AL., 15 REPRESENTED BY ROBERT J. JACKSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.; ROBERT J. 16 JACKSON & ASSOCIATES, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND IN THEIR 17 OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT, ANY SUCCESSORS IN 18 INTEREST; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 1000, 19 Defendants. 20 21 On April 1, 2013, Plaintiffs, proceeding in propria persona, 22 filed an unnoticed ex parte motion for a temporary restraining order and 23 a preliminary injunction. Since a preliminary injunction may be issued 24 "only on notice to the adverse party," Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a)(1), the 25 26 27

This matter is deemed suitable for decision without oral

portion of Plaintiffs' motion seeking a preliminary injunction is denied.

Further, Plaintiffs' conclusory statements in their request do not demonstrate that they have a probability of succeeding on the merits of their claims, or that they risk suffering irreparable harm if an injunction does not issue. A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc., 239 F.3d 1004, 1013 (9th Cir. 2001) (discussing injunction standard). Therefore, Plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order (ECF No. 2) is denied.

Dated: April 2, 2013

GARLAND E. BURREIL, JR. Senior United States District Judge