1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Andrew J. Morrissey, Esq. (SBN 156827) Sara M. Knowles, Esq. (SBN 216139) LELAND, SCHULTZ & MORRISSEY & KNOWLES LLP 1660 Humboldt Road, Suite 6 Chico, CA 95928 Telephone: (530) 342-4500 Facsimile: (530) 345-6836 Attorney for Plaintiff Vernisha Castle		
8		S DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	VERNISHA CASTLE, an individual,	CASE NO. 2:13-CV-00648-MCE-DAD	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE	
13		MODIFICATION OF PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER TO PERMIT	
14	TARGET CORPORATION, a Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	FILING OF AMENDED COMPLAINT AND EXTEND DISCOVERY CUT-OFF	
15	Defendants.	Tial Datas May 40,0045	
16		Trial Date: May 18, 2015	
17	/		
18	COMES NOW, Plaintiff Vernisha Castle ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Target		
19	Corporation ("Defendant") and jointly submit this Stipulation re Modification of Pretrial		
20	Scheduling Order to Permit Filing of Amend	ed Complaint and extend discovery cut-off in	
21	the above-entitled matter.		
22	The parties seek Court approval to modify the Pretrial Scheduling Order in this		
23	matter to permit the filing of an Amended Complaint for Damages, a true and correct copy		
24	of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." The Court's Pretrial Scheduling Order filed in		
25	this matter on August 16, 2013 indicates, "No joinder of parties or amendments to		
26	pleadings is permitted without leave of Court, good cause having been shown" (See		
27	Pretrial Scheduling Order, 1:22-24).		
28			
		1	

1 New counsel for Plaintiff was permitted to substitute in as counsel of record in this 2 matter via Consent Order signed by Judge Morrison C. England, Jr. on April 8, 2014. New 3 counsel, in reviewing the existing pleadings, thereafter determined that no request for 4 attorney's fees had been set forth in the Fifth Cause of Action of the original pleading 5 (seeking unpaid wages). Since California Labor Code Sec. 218.5 allows Plaintiff to seek 6 recovery of attorney's fees in a cause of action for unpaid wages, and without making any 7 admissions with respect to the underlying claim, the parties hereby stipulate to a 8 modification of the Court's Pretrial Scheduling Order for submission of the amended 9 pleading and also stipulate that the attached Amended Complaint for Damages may be 10 filed in the underlying matter.

11 Further, the parties hereby stipulate to extending the discovery cut-off date in this 12 matter to September 18, 2014. Good cause exists for extension of the discovery cut-off in 13 this case due to new counsel's recent substitution via Consent Order executed on April 8, 14 2014. Since the date of the substitution, counsel for the parties have been working 15 diligently and cooperatively to complete pertinent written discovery and depositions in a 16 timely manner. However, an additional sixty (60) days will facilitate a full investigation of 17 the facts and circumstances surrounding the case and, conceivably, an early resolution of 18 this matter.

19

SO STIPULATED.

20 DATED: June 10, 2014 LELAND, SCHULTZ, MORRISSEY & 21 KNOWLES, LLP 22 By /s/ Andrew J. Morrissey ANDREW J. MORRISSEY 23 Attorney for Plaintiff Vernisha Castle 24 25 DATED: June 10, 2014 CAROTHERS, DISANTE & FREUDENBERGER, LLP 26 27 By /s/ Nicole A. Legrottaglie NICOLE A. LEGROTTAGLIE 28 Attorney for Defendant Target Corporation 2

1	ORDER	
2	GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, the Court hereby orders that the Pretrial	
3	Scheduling Order in this matter be amended to permit the filing of the Amended Complaint	
4	for Damages, attached hereto as Exhibit "A," and to extend the discovery cut-off in this	
5	case to September 18, 2014.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: June 24, 2014	
8		
9	Low Alter	
10	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR, CHIEF JUDGE	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	

1	Andrew J. Morrissey, Esq. (SBN 156827) Sara M. Knowles, Esq. (SBN 216139) LELAND, SCHULTZ & MORRISSEY &		
2	KNOWLES LLP		
3	1660 Humboldt Road, Suite 6 Chico, CA 95928		
4	Telephone: (530) 342-4500 Facsimile: (530) 345-6836		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Vernisha Castle		
6			
7	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9 10			
11	VERNISHA CASTLE, an individual,	No. 2:13-cv-00648 MCE-DAD	
12	Plaintiff,	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
13	V.	FOR:	
14	TARGET CORPORATION., a Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20,	1. Violation of the California Family Rights Act;	
15	inclusive,	2. Violation of the Pregnancy Disability Leave Law;	
16	Defendants.		
17		3. Violation of the Family Medical Leave Act;	
18		4. Wrongful Termination	
19 20		5. Unpaid Wages	
20 21		Jury Trial Demanded	
21		Trial Date: May 18, 2015	
23			
24	Plaintiff Vernisha Castle ("Plaintiff") complains and alleges as follows:		
25	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS		
26	 The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of twenty-five thousand dollars 		
27	(\$25,000), exclusive of interests and costs.		
28	2. Plaintiff is a California resident residing in San Joaquin County.		
		4	
		Exhibit A	

1 3. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges, that Target Corporation 2 ("Target") is a corporation operating in the State of California, County of San Joaquin. 3 4. Plaintiff is informed, believes, thereon alleges, that Defendants, and each and all of 4 them, at all relevant times hereinafter mentioned were the agents, employees, servants, 5 joint venturers, parent companies, successor companies, directors, fiduciaries, 6 representatives, and/or co-conspirators of each of the remaining defendants (collectively 7 "Defendants"). Defendants, in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting within the 8 course and scope of such relationship (unless otherwise alleged) and were responsible in 9 some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and are a proximate cause of Plaintiff's 10 damages as herein alleged.

5. The true names and capacities of DOES 1 through 20 inclusive, whether individual,
corporate, associate are otherwise unknown to Plaintiff who therefore sues such
defendants by such fictitious names pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section
474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that the DOE Defendants are
California residents. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to show their true names and
capacities when they have been ascertained.

17 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the defendants
18 designated herein by a fictitious name is negligently, intentionally, or otherwise responsible
19 in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, and negligently,
20 intentionally, or otherwise caused the injuries and damages to Plaintiff as hereinafter
21 alleged.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

23 7. Plaintiff worked for Defendant approximately two years implementing the store's
24 "planograms".

25 8. In approximately August 2012, Plaintiff informed her team lead, Joanna, that she
26 was pregnant.

9. Unfortunately, on September 9th Plaintiff suffered a miscarriage.

22

27

28

10. Plaintiff called Joanna the morning she miscarried to let her know Plaintiff require

5

1	ourgery and would be off of work approximately two wooks		
	surgery and would be off of work approximately two weeks.		
2	11. Joanna expressed sympathy and told Plaintiff to keep her update regarding her		
3	condition.		
4	12. Plaintiff had surgery on September 18.		
5	13. Both prior to and following her surgery Plaintiff and her fiancé, who is also a Target		
6	employee, both kept Target apprised of Plaintiff's medical condition and return to work		
7	status.		
8	14. Plaintiff was able to return to work on September 26 th and she advised Joanna of		
9	this in advance.		
10	15. Plaintiff reported to work on September 26 th and completed her shift but after work		
11	she was terminated by her supervisor and the store manager for being absent from work		
12	the previous two weeks.		
13	EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES		
14	16. On or about January 21, 2013, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the Department of Fair		
15	Employment and Housing ("DFEH") alleging violations of the Fair Employment and		
16	Housing Act ("FEHA") and California Family Rights Act ("CFRA") by Defendant and		
17	requested an immediate right to sue letter.		
18	17. Plaintiff received a right to sue letter from the DFEH dated January 21, 2013.		
19	18. Plaintiff has exhausted all required administrative remedies under the FEHA and		
20	CFRA.		
21	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of the California Family Rights Act		
22	(California Governance Code § 12945.2)		
23	[As Against Defendants Target and DOES 1-10]		
24	19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-		
25	18, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth herein.		
26	20. Plaintiff's leave of absence qualified for protection under the CFRA.		
27	21. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that she was terminated, at least		
28	in part, for having exercised her right to take protected leave under the CFRA and/or in		

6 Exhibit A 1 retaliation for taking that leave.

22. As a proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to
suffer losses of earnings, and other benefits of employment, all to Plaintiff's damage in an
amount according to proof at trial.

5 23. As a further, proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and
6 continues to suffer, severe emotional distress and lasting humiliation, embarrassment, and
7 mental anguish, and other incidental and consequential damages and expenses, all to
8 Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof at trial.

9 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants committed
10 the acts described herein deliberately, callously, maliciously, fraudulently and in an
11 oppressive manner intended to injure Plaintiff, with an improper and evil motive amounting
12 to malice and spite caused by Defendants' animosity, bias, and hatred of Plaintiff, and was
13 done in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

25. Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs of suit under
California Government Code § 12970.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the California Pregnancy Disability Leave Law (California Government Code § 12945) [As Against Defendants Target and DOES 1-10]

26. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-

18, inclusive, and incorporates the same reference as though fully set forth herein.

27. Plaintiff's leave of absence qualified for protection under California's Pregnancy
Disability Leave Law ("PDLL").

28. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that she was terminated, at least

25 in part, for having exercised her right to take protected leave under the PDLL and/or in

26 retaliation for taking that leave.

29. Defendant also failed to reinstate Plaintiff to her former position with Defendant.

28

27

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

7

30. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to

suffer, severe emotional distress and lasting humiliation, embarrassment, and mental
 anguish, and other incidental and consequential damages and expenses, all to Plaintiff's
 damage in an amount according to proof at trial.

31. As a further, proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and
continues to suffer, severe emotional distress and lasting humiliation, embarrassment, and
mental anguish, and other incidental and consequential damages and expenses, all to
Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof at trial.

8 32. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants committed
9 that acts described herein deliberately, callously, maliciously, fraudulently and in an
10 oppressive manner intended to injure Plaintiff, with an improper and evil motive amounting
11 to malice and spite caused by Defendants' animosity, bias, and hatred of Plaintiff, and was
12 done in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

33. Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs of suit under
California Government Code § 12970.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of the Family Medical Leave Act (29 USC § 2615, et. al.)

[As Against Defendant Target and DOES 5-15]

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 118, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 21 35. The leave which Plaintiff took qualified as a protected leave under the Family
- 22 Medical Leave Act ("FMLA").

23 36. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that she was terminated, at least

24 || in part, for having taken her protected leave of absence.

25 37. As a proximate result of Defendants' violations of applicable law outlined herein.

26 Plaintiff has suffered a loss of wages, salary, employment benefits, interest and other

27 compensation.

28 38. Defendants' wrongful actions were made in bad faith, they did not have reasonable

- -

15

16

17

18

8

1	grounds for believing their conduct was not in violation of the FMLA and Plaintiff therefore	
2	requests an award of liquidated damages under 29 USC § 2617(a)(1)(A)(ii).	
3	39. Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs of suit under 29	
4	USC § 2617(a)(3).	
5		
6	Wiengraf Termination	
7	[As Against Defendant Target and DOES 1-15]	
8	40. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-	
9	39, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though full set forth herein.	
10	41. As a California employee, Plaintiff was protected by the fundamental, basic, and	
11	substantial public policies found in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act,	
12	California Pregnancy Disability Leave Law, California Family Rights Act, Family Medical	
13	Leave Act, California Government Code § 12940, et. seq. and the California Constitution.	
14	42. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that motivating factors in	
15	Defendant's termination of Plaintiff were in violation of these enumerated public policies.	
16	43. As a proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to	
17	suffer losses of earnings, and other benefits of employment, all to Plaintiff's damage in an	
18	amount according to proof at trial.	
19	44. As a further, proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and	
20	continues to suffer, severe emotional distress and lasting humiliation, embarrassment, and	
21	mental anguish, and other incidental and consequential damages and expenses, all to	
22	Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof at trial.	
23	45. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant committed	
24	the acts described herein deliberately, callously, maliciously, fraudulently and in an	
25	oppressive manner intended to injure Plaintiff, with an improper and evil motive amounting	
26	to malice and spite cause by Defendants' animosity, bias, and hatred of Plaintiff, and was	
27	done in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights.	
28		
	9	

Exhibit A

1	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Unpaid Wages		
2	(California Labor Code §§ 201-203) [As Against Defendants Target and DOES 5-20]		
3			
4	46. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-		
5	18, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth herein.		
6	47. Plaintiff has not received her final paycheck since being terminated from her		
7	employment by Defendants.		
8	48. Plaintiff is entitled to recover "waiting time" penalties under Labor Code § 203 as a		
9	penalty for Defendant's failure to pay her earned wages immediately upon termination.		
10	49. Plaintiff is entitled to recover attorney's fees for Defendant's failure to pay her		
11	earned wages pursuant to California Labor Code Section § 218.5.		
12	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:		
14	1. For actual damages, including loss of past and future earning, bonuses, deferred		
15	compensation, and other employment benefits, in an amount according to proof at		
16	trial;		
17	2. For consequential and incidental damages and expenses in an amount according to		
18	proof at trial;		
19	3. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, all at the legal prevailing rate;		
20	4. For general and special damages, including but not limited to, emotional distress,		
21	loss of reputation, and medical expenses in an amount according to proof at trial;		
22	5. For penalties under California Labor Code § 203;		
23	6. For liquidated damages under FMLA;		
24	7. For attorney's fees according to proof;		
25	8. For punitive damages, in an amount according to proof at trial; and		
26	9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just, proper, and equitable.		
27			
28			
	10		
	Exhibit A		

1	Dated: June, 2014	LELAND, SCHULTZ, MORRISSEY & KNOWLES LLP
2		
3		By: Andrew J. Morrissey
4 5		Attorneys for Plaintiff Vernisha Castle
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		11
		11 Exhibit A

1	JUI	RY TRIAL DEMAND
2		
3	Plaintiff hereby demands her c	constitutional right to trial by jury for all triable issues in
4		
5		
6	Dated: June, 2014 LE	LAND, SCHULTZ, MORRISSEY & KNOWLES LLP
7		
8	By:	
9		Andrew J. Morrissey Attorneys for Plaintiff Vernisha Castle
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		12 Exhibit A