1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	E. CHILDS,	No. 2:13-cv-670-TLN-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. He again requests that the court appoint counsel. As plaintiff has been previously	
19	informed (see ECF Nos. 17, 37, 47, 68), district courts lack authority to require counsel to	
20	represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S.	
21	296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily	
22	to represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017	
23	(9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When	
24	determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider the likelihood of	
25	success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of	
26	the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009).	
27	Having considered those factors, the court still finds there are no exceptional circumstances in	
28	this case.	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HERE	BY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of
2	counsel (ECF No. 82) is denied.	
3	DATED: November 30, 2015.	Elmind F. Bieman
4		EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
		2