1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	9		
10	0 ROBERT BENYAMINI, No. 2:13-cv-0735	-KJM-EFB P	
11	1 Plaintiff,		
12	2 v. <u>ORDER</u>		
13	3 M. SWETT, et al.,		
14	4 Defendants.		
15	5		
16	6 On December 4, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued an order	On December 4, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued an order granting plaintiff additional	
17	7 time to oppose defendants' motion to compel and vacating the disp	time to oppose defendants' motion to compel and vacating the dispositive motion deadline. ECF	
18	No. 70. Plaintiff filed and served "Objections" to that order on December 24, 2015. ECF No. 71		
19	at 8. He then moved to amend the caption on his objections to read, "Motion for Reconsideration		
20	of Your Magistrate Judge's Order " ECF No. 73.		
21	Local Rule 303(b), states "rulings by Magistrate Judges shall be final if no		
22	reconsideration thereof is sought from the Court within fourteen da	reconsideration thereof is sought from the Court within fourteen days from the date of service	
23	of the ruling on the parties." Id. Plaintiff's requests for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's		
24	order of December 4, 2015 are therefore untimely.		
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's re-	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's requests for reconsideration	
26	(ECF Nos. 71, 73) are denied.	n /	
27	27 DATED: April 21, 2016.	Mindlal	
28	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT JUDGE	