1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RAM HERAM,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:13-cv-780-TLN-EFB PS
12	VS.
13	UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14	Defendant.
15	
16	This case, in which plaintiff is proceeding in propria persona, is before the undersigned
17	pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21). See 28 U.S.C.
18	§ 636(b)(1). On May 16, 2013, the undersigned granted plaintiff's request to proceed in forma
19	pauperis, directed the clerk to provide plaintiff with the forms required to effect service on
20	defendants, and directed plaintiff to provide to the U.S. Marshal within fourteen days all
21	information needed to effect service of process and to file a statement with the court within
22	fourteen days thereafter that the documents were submitted. ECF No. 3. Also on May 16, 2013,
23	the court issued an order which, among other things, set a status (pretrial scheduling) conference
24	for September 18, 2013, directed plaintiff to serve a copy of the order concurrently with service
25	of process, and directed the parties to file status reports within fourteen days of the September
26	18, 2013 conference, or in this instance, by September 4, 2013. ECF No. 7.
	1

1 The docket reveals that plaintiff has not filed a statement that the service documents were 2 submitted to the Marshal. Furthermore, court staff contacted a representative for the Marshal on 3 September 13, 2013. The representative indicated that the Marshal has not received the service 4 documents from plaintiff. Additionally, plaintiff did not file a status report, as required by the 5 May 16, 2013 order. Accordingly, the status conference will be continued and plaintiff will be ordered to show cause why this case and/or any unserved defendants should not be dismissed as 6 7 a result of plaintiff's failure to follow court orders. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110 8 ("Failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may 9 be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or 10 within the inherent power of the Court."); see also E.D. Cal. L.R. 183 ("Any individual 11 representing himself or herself without an attorney is bound by the Federal Rules of Civil or 12 Criminal Procedure and by these Local Rules."); Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 13 1995) ("Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal.").

14

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

The status conference currently scheduled for September 18, 2013, is continued to
 January 22, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 8.

Plaintiff shall supply the United States Marshal, within 14 days from the date this
 order is filed, all information needed by the Marshal to effect service of process, and shall,
 within 14 days thereafter, file a statement with the court that said documents have been
 submitted to the United States Marshal.

3. Plaintiff shall show cause, in writing, on or before October 15, 2013, why sanctions
 should not be imposed for failure to follow court orders and/or for failure to provide the Marshal
 with the necessary documents to effect service of process.

4. By January 8, 2014, the parties shall file status reports (or a joint status report) setting
forth the matters referenced in the court's May 16, 2013 order, including the status of service of
process.

2

1	5. Failure of plaintiff to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this
2	action be dismissed for failure to follow court orders and/or for lack of prosecution under Rule
3	41(b).
4	DATED: September 16, 2013.
5	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	2

I

I