1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	IRA DON PARTHEMORE,	No. 2:13-cv-00819 KJM AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	B. KISSEL et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On March 6, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within	n fourteen days. ECF No. 49. Neither party timely
23	filed objections to the findings and recommendations. On March 31, 2015, the court adopted the	
24	findings and recommendations in full. ECF No. 50. On the same day, objections from plaintiff	
25	were received in the court; the objections were entered on the docket on April 1, 2015. ECF No.	
26	50. The objections are deemed filed March 24, 2015. See Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988).	
27	Plaintiff's objections were not considered by the court prior to entry of the March 31, 2015 order.	
28	/////	
		1

1	Under the deadline set in the findings and recommendations, objections were due March	
2	20, 2015. Plaintiff's objections are therefore untimely. Moreover, the objections consist only of	
3	a statement that plaintiff "disagrees with the Court's granting of summary judgment for	
4	Defendants Kissel, Thomas, Costa, Sherrard, Heinschel, Reaves, Toor, Malakkla, Virk, and Neal	
5	and Defendant Soltanian on the grounds of his failure to grant a mednical [sic] hold for Plaintiff.	
6	Plaintiff submits on the previous record " ECF No. 51. These summary objections do not	
7	present any grounds which would require reconsideration of the court's March 31, 2015 order	
8	adopting in full the March 6, 2015 findings and recommendations.	
9	Accordingly, good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the court's March	
10	31, 2015 order is confirmed.	
11	DATED: April 2, 2015.	
12	In A mind	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	