20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## 1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 ARLEF DAI WEAVER, No. C 13-1054 WHA (PR) 9 ORDER OF TRANSFER Petitioner, 10 v. 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Warden, (Dkt. 4) 12 Respondent. 13 In this habeas case petitioner seeks to challenge a conviction and sentence incurred in 14 15 the Superior Court of Siskiyou County. Siskiyou County is in the venue of the United States 16 District Court for the Eastern District of California. 17 Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of 18 conviction, 28 U.S.C. 2241(d); however, petitions challenging a conviction are preferably heard 19

in the district of conviction. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); *Laue v. Nelson*, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). Because petitioner was convicted in Eastern District, this case is **Transferred** to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b). Ruling on the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be deferred to the Eastern District.

The clerk shall transfer this matter forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 1, 2013.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

G:\PRO-SE\WHA\HC.13\WEAVER1054.TRN.wpd