1	Aaron L. Agenbroad (State Bar No. 242613) alagenbroad@JonesDay.com Catherine S. Nasser (State Bar No. 246191) cnasser@JonesDay.com JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
2			
3			
4			
5	Telephone: +1.415.626.3939 Facsimile: +1.415.875.5700		
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	NATHAN BRAUN,	Case No. 2:13-CV-00872-WBS-EFB	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
13	v.	ORDER AMENDING PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER TO ALLOW	
14	CELLCO PARTNERSHIP, a Delaware	FOR MEDIATION	
15	Partnership doing business in the State of California as VERIZON WIRELESS; and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19	` ,	Defendant Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon	
20	Wireless ("Defendant") hereby stipulate as follo		
21	WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court's Pretrial Scheduling Order dated September 20, 2013,		
22	ECF No. 14, the discovery deadline in the above-captioned matter is set for June 2, 2014 and trial		
23	is set for December 2, 2014;		
24	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to mediate this matter and the mediation will take		
25	place within 45-60 days to accommodate the mediator's schedule as well as the schedule of the		
26	parties;		
27	WHEREAS, in light of the parties' intent to pursue mediation, the parties request a limited		
28		o allow adequate time for the parties to prepare for STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER TO ALLOW FOR MEDIATION.	

and engage in mediation and to prepare for trial in the event a settlement is not reached;

WHEREAS, the agreed-upon schedule is not for the purpose of delay, promotes judicial efficiency, and will not cause prejudice to any party;

WHEREAS, the parties have not sought any previous request to continue the dates in the Pretrial Scheduling Order.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between Plaintiff and Defendant, subject to the approval of the Court, that the Pretrial Scheduling Order is amended as follows:

- 1. The discovery deadline of June 2, 2014 will remain the same, subject to three modifications:
 - a. If the mediation is unsuccessful, Plaintiff may re-notice the depositions of Cynthia Gomez-Jackson, Angel Ramirez, Joe Cayero, Jillian Sanguilliano, and Kevin Zavaglia within 30 days of the unsuccessful mediation, subject to any objections by Defendant or requests for protective order.
 - b. If the mediation is unsuccessful, Defendant will respond to Plaintiff's
 Request for Production of Documents, Set Three, within 15 days of the
 unsuccessful mediation, subject to any objections.
 - c. Plaintiff may submit a discovery dispute to the Court pursuant to Local Rule 251 solely with respect to the following post-mediation discovery: (i) Defendant's response to Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents, Set Three; and (ii) the depositions of Cynthia Gomez-Jackson, Angel Ramirez, Joe Cayero, Jillian Sanguilliano, and Kevin Zavaglia. Plaintiff must file and serve any dispute or discovery motion related to Defendant's response to Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents, Set Three within 15 days of service of Defendant's response. Plaintiff must file and serve any dispute or discovery motion related to the depositions listed in this paragraph within 5 days of the noticed date of the individual's

1	deposition.	Plaintiff may not submit a discovery dispute or otherwise file
2	any discovery motion that relates to Defendant's discovery responses	
3	which were completed prior to the mediation. Plaintiff may, if necessary,	
4		
5	file any motion to compel production of documents relating to subpoenas issued on or about May 16, 2014, to ADP Payroll Services, Inc. and Talx	
6	Ucm Services, Inc.	
7		
8		
9	10, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. The Pretrial Conference is continued to December 8,	
10	2014 at 2:00 p.m. All other deadlines and dates set forth in the Pretriel Scheduling Order stand. There will	
11	All other deadlines and dates set forth in the Pretrial Scheduling Order stand. There will be no other waivers or deviations from the Pretrial Scheduling Order.	
12	be no other warvers of deviations	_
13	D . 1 . 10 . 2014	Respectfully submitted,
14	Dated: May 19, 2014	JONES DAY
15		By: /s/ Catherine S. Nasser Catherine S. Nasser
16		
17		Attorneys for Defendant CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS
18		Respectfully submitted,
19	Detect. May 10, 2014	PERKINS & ASSOCIATES
20	Dated: May 19, 2014	PERKINS & ASSOCIATES
21		By: /s/ Natalia Asbill (as authorized on May 19, 2014)
22		Natalia Asbill
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff NATHAN BRAUN
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING PRETRIAL SCHEDULING

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	Dated: May 21, 2014	
4	dilliam of shake	
5	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
6		
7	SFI-861522v2	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13 14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		