| 1 | | |
|----------|--|-------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | KEITH R. CLAYTON, | No. 2:13-cv-907-JAM-EFB |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | |
| 12 | v. | |
| 13 | AUTOMATED GAMING | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Nevada corporation, JOHN R. PRATHER, and | |
| 15 | ROBERT MAGNANTI, | |
| 16 | Defendants. | |
| 17 18 | AUTOMATED GAMING TECHNOLOGIES INC., a Nevada corporation, | |
| 18 19 | Counter-Claimant, | |
| 20 | V. | |
| 20 | KEITH R. CLAYTON, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, | |
| 22 | Counter-Defendants. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | On January 21, 2015, this matter was before the court for hearing on plaintiff's motion to | |
| 25 | compel defendant Automated Gaming Technologies, Inc. ("AGT") to produce documents | |
| 26 | responsive to plaintiff's Third Request for Production of Documents, ECF No. 162, and | |
| 27 | plaintiff's motion to compel AGT to provide a further response to plaintiff's First Set of | |
| 28 | Interrogatories, ECF No. 163. | |

| 1 | For the reasons stated on the record, plaintiff's motion to compel a further response to his | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | First Set of Interrogatories is denied. As stated on the record, plaintiff's concerns are more | |
| 3 | appropriately addressed by way of a deposition of the person or persons having actual knowledge | |
| 4 | of defendant's representations in response to the interrogatory. Plaintiff's motion to compel the | |
| 5 | production of documents is granted. As for requests for production of documents numbers 10, | |
| 6 | 11, and 18-22, AGT shall provide a verification, signed under penalty of perjury, for each | |
| 7 | category of documents requested, describing in detail the search that was performed and the | |
| 8 | results of the search. As for the remaining requests for production—requests 1-9, 12-17, 23-43— | |
| 9 | AGT shall provide a further response, which identifies, by bates number or other means, the | |
| 10 | documents AGT claims it has already produced for each individual request. If AGT claims that | |
| 11 | no responsive documents are available for a specific request, AGT shall produce a verification, | |
| 12 | signed under penalty of perjury, for the particular request, describing in detail the search that was | |
| 13 | performed and the result of the search. AGT shall comply with this order by no later than | |
| 14 | February 4, 2015. | |
| 15 | DATED: January 21, 2015. | |
| 16 | EDMUND F. BRENNAN | |
| 17 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 2 | |