1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEITH R. CLAYTON,	No. 2:13-cv-907-JAM-EFB
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ENFORCING JUDGMENT
14	AUTOMATED GAMING	
15	TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Nevada corporation, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	The Court issued an order on October 15, 2015 ordering	
19	Defendant Automated Gaming Technologies ("AGT") to pay Plaintiff	
20	Keith Clayton ("Clayton") \$200,000.00 in several installment	
21	payments. 10/15/15 Order, ECF No. 221. The Order states that if	
22	AGT fails to make an installment payment, "\$200,000.00 shall	
23	become immediately due and payable by AGT to Clayton." Id. at 2.	
24	The Order further states:	
25		
26	"[i]n the event of any such default in payment which has continued for ten (10) or more days after such	
27	written notice of default, Clayton may apply to the Court for entry of a[n] order against AGT that	
28		layton the entire remaining originally agreed sum of
		1

1 \$200,000.00, interest on past due balances, and reasonable attorney fees incurred in obtaining the 2 said order. Id. at 2-3. 3 Clayton now moves to enforce the judgment.<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 222. 4 Clayton indicates in a declaration by his attorney that AGT has 5 not made the January 2017 installment payment as required by the 6 Court's order. Premo Decl. ¶ 6, ECF No. 224. AGT has not filed 7 an opposition to the motion, and any opposition by AGT was due on 8 April 4, 2017. 9 Pursuant to its previous Order, the Court GRANTS Clayton's 10 motion to enforce the judgment and orders as follows: 11 1. AGT must immediately pay the entire remaining unpaid balance 12 the \$200,000.00 judgment to Clayton, plus of interest 13 thereon at the legal rate from January 27, 2017. 14 2. AGT shall pay Clayton \$2,000.00 for his reasonable attorney 15 fees incurred in obtaining this enforcement order; 16 3. No other provisions of the Order or of the Settlement 17 Agreement dated October 2, 2015, are hereby affected. The 18 Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement 19 Agreement, the October 15, 2015 Order, and this enforcement 20 order. 21 2.2 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 Dated: April 10, 2017 2.4 25 26 NITED STATES DISTRICT JU This motion was determined to be suitable for decision without 27

E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(g). The hearing was

oral argument.

scheduled for April 8, 2016.

28