1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	KENNETH CALIHAN,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:13-cv-0929 GEB KJN P
12	VS.
13	KATHLEEN DICKINSON, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
14 15	
	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u> / Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42
15	/
15 16	/ Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42
15 16 17	/ Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to
15 16 17 18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States</u>
15 16 17 18 19	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States</u> <u>Dist. Court</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an
15 16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States</u> <u>Dist. Court</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); <u>Terrell v.</u>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States</u> <u>Dist. Court</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); <u>Terrell v.</u> <u>Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>Wood v. Housewright</u> , 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States</u> <u>Dist. Court</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); <u>Terrell v.</u> <u>Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>Wood v. Housewright</u> , 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States</u> <u>Dist. Court</u> , 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); <u>Terrell v.</u> <u>Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>Wood v. Housewright</u> , 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to

1

1	Circumstances common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library
2	access, do not establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance
3	of counsel.
4	Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff has
5	failed to meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the
6	appointment of counsel at this time.
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the
8	appointment of counsel (ECF No. 6) is denied without prejudice.
9	DATED: June 13, 2013
10	TI A A .
11	KENDALLI NEWMAN
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	cali0929.31
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I