1		
2		
3		
4		
5 6		
0 7		
, 8	ΙΙΝΙΤΕΌ ΣΤΑΤ	'ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID MUGOMOKE,	No. 13-cv-00984-KJM-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	MARK HAZUDA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	In this immigration case seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, plaintiff's and	
18	defendants' competing motions for summary judgment are currently pending before the court.	
19	(Pl.'s Mot. Summ. J., ECF 14; Defs.' Cross-Mot. Summ. J., ECF 15). After considering the	
20	parties' respective positions, the court requires further briefing on whether it has subject matter	
21	jurisdiction. See Gonzalez v. Thaler, U.S, 132 S. Ct. 641, 648 (2012) ("When a	
22	requirement goes to subject-matter jurisdiction, courts are obligated to consider sua sponte issues	
23	that the parties have disclaimed or have not presented."). Accordingly, the court orders the	
24	parties to provide simultaneous briefs on the following question:	
25	Does this court have jurisdiction to review the denial of plaintiff's application for adjustment of status filed under 8 U.S.C. § 1159 in	
26	light of 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(ii)'s language purportedly eliminating judicial review?	
27	eminiating judicial review?	
28	/////	1
		1

1	Simultaneous supplemental briefs should be filed within 7 days from the date of
2	this order and they should address the jurisdiction question only. The parties may file any
3	responses to the other's brief within 14 days from the date of this order. The briefs should be
4	limited to 10 pages each.
5	The hearing scheduled in this matter on May 9, 2014, is continued to May 29,
6	2014, at 2:00 p.m.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	DATED: May 6, 2014.
9 10	nAmile/
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2