Ba v Holder, et al Doc. 20

1	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER			
2	United States Attorney AUDREY B. HEMESATH			
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	Assistant United States Attorney			
4	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814			
	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Email: Audrey.Hemesath@usdoj.gov			
5				
6	Attorneys for Defendants			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8		I OF CALIFORNIA		
9	ABDOUL BA,) Case No.: 2:13-cv-1005 MCE AC		
10	Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2.13-ev-1003 WELL NE		
11	V.)		
12	EDIC HOLDED at al) CTATUS DEPODT. IOINT		
13	ERIC HOLDER, et al.,) STATUS REPORT; JOINT) STIPULATION AND ORDER RE:		
14	Defendants.) ABEYANCE		
15)		
16		-		
17		This is an immigration case currently held in abeyance while plaintiff is in removal		
L /	This is an immigration case currently held	in abeyance while plaintiff is in removal		
18	This is an immigration case currently held proceedings. The parties report that removal pro	1		
	proceedings. The parties report that removal pro	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next		
18	proceedings. The parties report that removal prohearing date in immigration court is May 24, 201	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider		
18 19	proceedings. The parties report that removal pro	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider		
18 19 20	proceedings. The parties report that removal prohearing date in immigration court is May 24, 201	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider remains dependent on the outcome of the		
18 19 20 21	proceedings. The parties report that removal prohearing date in immigration court is May 24, 201 the merits of plaintiff's naturalization application	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider remains dependent on the outcome of the entinuance of the abeyance period, until June 24,		
18 19 20 21 22	proceedings. The parties report that removal prohearing date in immigration court is May 24, 201 the merits of plaintiff's naturalization application removal proceedings, the parties stipulate to a co 2018, at which time the parties will file a further	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider remains dependent on the outcome of the entinuance of the abeyance period, until June 24,		
18 19 20 21 22 23	proceedings. The parties report that removal prohearing date in immigration court is May 24, 201 the merits of plaintiff's naturalization application removal proceedings, the parties stipulate to a co	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider remains dependent on the outcome of the entinuance of the abeyance period, until June 24,		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	proceedings. The parties report that removal prohearing date in immigration court is May 24, 201 the merits of plaintiff's naturalization application removal proceedings, the parties stipulate to a co 2018, at which time the parties will file a further	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider remains dependent on the outcome of the entinuance of the abeyance period, until June 24,		
18 19 20 21 222 223 224 225	proceedings. The parties report that removal prohearing date in immigration court is May 24, 201 the merits of plaintiff's naturalization application removal proceedings, the parties stipulate to a co 2018, at which time the parties will file a further	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider remains dependent on the outcome of the entinuance of the abeyance period, until June 24,		
18 19 20 21 222 223 224 225 226	proceedings. The parties report that removal prohearing date in immigration court is May 24, 201 the merits of plaintiff's naturalization application removal proceedings, the parties stipulate to a co 2018, at which time the parties will file a further	ceedings remain ongoing. Plaintiff's next 8. Because this Court's jurisdiction to consider remains dependent on the outcome of the entinuance of the abeyance period, until June 24,		

1	Dated: April 1, 2016 Respectfully submitted,	
2	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney	
3 4	s/ Audrey B. Hemesath	
5	AUDREY B. HEMESATH Assistant United States Attorney	
6	s/ Clifford Chigbu	
7	CLIFFORD CHIGBU Attorney for the Plaintiff	
8		
9		
10		
11 12		
13	ORDER	
14	For the foregoing reasons, the case remains held in abeyance. The parties shall submit a status report on June 24, 2018.	
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: April 12, 2016	
18	Aloun III.	
19	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		