1	KAMALA D. HARRIS, State Bar No. 146672		
2	Attorney General of California MISHA D. IGRA, State Bar No. 208711 Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHELLE M. MAYER, State Bar No. 233830 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 323-8789 Fax: (916) 324-5205 E-mail: Michelle.Mayer@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants deRoco and Virga		
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
12			
13	ROBERT COLEMAN,	2:13-cv-1021-JAM-KJN	
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER	
15	v.	EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANTS' DISCOVERY DESPONSES AND DISCOVERY	
16	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	RESPONSES AND DISCOVERY DEADLINE	
17	CALIFORNIA DEL ARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.,	Judge: Honorable Kendall J. Newman Action Filed: May 22, 2013	
18	Defendants.	Action Flied. Way 22, 2013	
19			
20	Under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A) and Local Rules 143 and 144, the		
21	parties have met and conferred and stipulate to a thirty-one day extension, up to and including		
22	April 29, 2016, for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's discovery requests, including Plaintiff's		
23	interrogatories, request for admissions, and request for production of documents. The current		
24	deadline is March 29, 2016. The parties further stipulate to extend the current discovery deadline		
25	from April 22, 2016, to May 15, 2016 (ECF No. 82 ¶ 6.)		
26	When an act must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend		
27	the time with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the		
28	original time expires. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A)	. Good cause exists to grant this extension	

because, despite defense counsel's diligence, she will not be able to respond to Plaintiff's 2 discovery requests by the current due date of March 29, 2016. Defense counsel has been working 3 diligently with the Litigation Coordinator at California State Prison-Sacramento (SAC), however, 4 SAC is not able to copy and produce potentially responsive documents by that date. Further, 5 upon receipt of those documents, Defendants' counsel will need additional time to review them, 6 potentially prepare a privilege log, a supporting declaration, and then prepare them for 7 production. Defendants need an additional thirty days to respond to Plaintiff's discovery 8 requests, which is one-week after the discovery deadline, and the parties have agreed to this 9 extension. 10 After the Plaintiff receives and reviews Defendants' discovery responses, he may need time to prepare a motion to compel further discovery, therefore, the parties stipulate to an extension of 12 the current discovery deadline, from April 22, 2016, until May 15, 2016. This short extension

Accordingly, the parties stipulate that Defendants' deadline for responding to Plaintiff's January 13, 2016, interrogatories, requests for admissions, and a request for documents will be April 29, 2016, and the discovery deadline will be extended to May 15, 2016.

will not affect the dispositive motion deadline, which is the only remaining scheduled deadline.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: March 28, 2016	/s/ Robert Coleman
	Robert Coleman (H-00031)
	Plaintiff Pro Se
Dated: March 28, 2016	-/s/ Michelle M. Mayer
	Michelle M. Mayer
	Deputy Attorney General
	Attorney for Defendants deRoco and Virga

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 31, 2016

cole1021.stip.dsc

1

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(ECF No. 82.)

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE