1		
1		
2 3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL JASTRAUB,	No. 2:13-cv-1036 JAM GGH P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SCOTT FRAUENHEIM,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460	
19	(9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	
20	of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See</u> Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases.	
21	In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the	
22	appointment of counsel at the present time.	
23	////	
24	////	
25	////	
26	////	
27	Petitioner's objections to the findings and r	recommendations, filed within the same document,
28	will be addressed through a separate order by the district court at a later time.	
		1

(HC) Jastraub v. Barnes

Doc. 42

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of
2	counsel (ECF No. 41) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the
3	proceedings.
4	Dated: April 5, 2016
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	/kly
9	jast1036.110
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	