Gill et al v. Vaillancourt et al Doc. 24

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GURMUKH SINGH GILL, et al., No. 2:13-CV-01114-MCE-EFB 12 Plaintiffs, 13 **ORDER** ٧. 14 SCOTT VAILLANCOURT, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On January 14, 2014, this Court dismissed Plaintiffs' operative complaint with 18 leave to amend. See ECF No. 23. The Court made clear, however, that if no amended complaint was filed within thirty (30) days of the filing of that Order, the complaint would 19 20 be dismissed with prejudice upon no further notice to the parties. 21 /// 22 /// 23 //// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///1

1	No amended complaint has been filed. Accordingly, pursuant to the Court's prior Order,
2	this action is now DISMISSED with prejudice, and the Clerk of the Court is directed to
3	close this case.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: March 10, 2014
6	
7	I law also
8	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR, CHIEF JUDGE
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	