1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CARLOS HONABLE, No. 2:13-cv-1203 JAM KJN P 12 Petitioner. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 M. D. MCDONALD, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute 18 right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 19 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage 20 of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. 21 In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the 22 appointment of counsel at the present time. 23 On March 14, 2014, petitioner filed a three page opposition to respondent's motion to 24 dismiss. In his opposition, petitioner claimed that he had not received a response to his February request for extension of time to file an opposition, and therefore was not able to fully research his 25 26 opposition and was forced to send off his response to avoid "going into default." (ECF No. 27 at 27 3.) However, petitioner's request for a sixty-day extension of time was granted on March 7,

28

1	petitioner's opposition which was signed on March 11, 2014. Petitioner is advised that his
2	extension of time is still in effect; thus, he may file an amended opposition to respondent's
3	motion to dismiss on or before May 7, 2014. Respondent's reply is due seven days thereafter
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
5	1. Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 26) is denied without
6	prejudice; and
7	2. Petitioner may file an amended opposition on or before May 7, 2014; respondent's
8	reply is due seven days thereafter.
9	Dated: March 25, 2014
10	Ferdal P. Newman
11	/hona1203.110 KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	