

1 RONALD W. BROWN, ESQ. (SBN 107340)
 BARBARA A. COTTER, ESQ. (SBN 142590)
 2 CARRIE E. BUSHMAN, ESQ. (SBN 186130)
 COOK BROWN, LLP
 3 555 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 425
 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
 4 T.: 916.442.3100 | F.: 916.442.4227
rbrown@cookbrown.com
 5 bcotter@cookbrown.com
cbushman@cookbrown.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant CAMPBELL SOUP SUPPLY
 7 COMPANY, LLC

8

9

10

11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

DANNY McCRARY, on behalf of himself
 and all others similarly situated, and on
 behalf of the, general public ,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAMPBELL SOUP SUPPLY COMPANY,
 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,
 CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY, a New
 Jersey corporation, AND DOES 1 -10
 inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-01332-GEB-KJN

**[PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING
 THE SCHEDULING ORDER TO
 ALLOW DEFENDANT CAMPBELL
 SOUP SUPPLY COMPANY TO FILE A
 FIRST AMENDED ANSWER TO THE
 SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
 [FRCP 15; FRCP 16; ED LOCAL RULE
 220]**

Action Filed: June 3, 2013

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS the Second Amended Complaint in this matter was filed October 3,
 2013; and

WHEREAS, Defendant, Campbell Soup Supply Company LLC ("Campbell
 Supply") filed an answer to the Second Amended Complaint on November 1, 2013; and

WHEREAS, in the Pretrial Scheduling Order dated October 23, 2013, this Court
 provided that no further amendments to pleadings would be permitted except with leave
 of Court for good cause shown; and

**ORDER MODIFYING THE SCHEDULING ORDER TO ALLOW DEFENDANT TO FILE A FIRST
 AMENDED ANSWER TO THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT**

1 WHEREAS, the attached Declaration of Counsel establishes good cause for filing an
2 amended answer; and

3 WHEREAS plaintiff, through his counsel, has no objections to the filing of the
4 amended answer; and

5 WHEREAS, the initial pretrial scheduling conference in this matter was continued
6 to August 18, 2014, and, as such, the parties have not yet exchanged initial disclosures or
7 propounded formal discovery, and no trial has been scheduled, so that the proposed
8 amendment will not prejudice any party; and

9 WHEREAS there has been no undue delay in seeking Court approval;


10 The Court hereby enters the following order:

11 1. The Scheduling Order is hereby modified to allow for the filing of the proposed
12 First Amended Answer to the Second Amended Complaint;

13 2. Defendant shall cause the First Amended Answer to be filed within ten days of
14 the entry of this Order.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: January 28, 2014

17
18 
19 _____
20 GARIAND E. BURRELL, JR.
21 Senior United States District Judge
22
23

24 APPROVED AS TO FORM:

26 DATED: January 27, 2014

27 _____
28 /s/Daniel Gaines
DANIEL GAINES
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF