1	KENNETH S. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 049045		
1	ken@gaineslawfirm.com		
2	DANIEL F. GAINES, ESQ. SBN 251488		
2	daniel@gaineslawfirm.com		
3	ALEX P. KATOFSKY, ESQ. SBN 202754		
4	alex@gaineslawfirm.com		
ا ہے	GAINES & GAINES, APLC		
5	21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980		
6	Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone: (818) 703-8985		
	Facsimile: (818) 703-8984		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff DANNY McCRARY		
8	Autoriteys for Framum DANNY Meercare 1		
	RONALD W. BROWN, ESQ. SBN 107340		
9	rbrown@cookbrown.com		
10	BARBARA A. COTTER, ESQ. SBN 142590		
10	bcotter@cookbrown.com		
11	CARRIE E. BUSHMAN, ESQ. SBN 18613		
12	cbushman@cookbrown.com		
12	MEG E. WILSON, ESQ. SBN 278386		
13	mwilson@cookbrown.com		
1.4	COOK BROWN, LLP		
14	Telephone: (916) 442-3100		
15	Facsimile: (916) 442-4277		
	Attorneys for Defendant CAMBELL SOUP SUPPLY COMPANY, L.L	C	
16	CAMBELL SOUP SUFFLI COMPANT, L.L	.C.	
17			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18			
19	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
•			
20		1	
21	DANNY McCRARY, on behalf of himself	Case No.: 2:13-cv-01332-GEB-KJN	
	and all others similarly situated, and on	Case 110 2.13-ev-01332-GLD-R311	
22	behalf of the general public,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
23	Disingliffe	ORDER TO REMAND CASE TO STATE	
	Plaintiffs,	COURT	
24	V.		
25			
	CAMPBELL SOUP SUPPLY COMPANY		
26	L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,		
27	and DOLS I unough 10, inclusive,		
_ /	Defendants.		
28			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO REMAND CASE TO STATE COURT

1 2:13-cv-01332-GEB-KJN

RECITALS

- 1. Plaintiff Danny McCrary ("Plaintiff") commenced this action by filing a class action complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of San Joaquin (the "State Court") on or about June 3, 2013 against Campbell Soup Supply Company, LLC ("Defendant"). Plaintiff's complaint alleged causes of action for (1) Failure to provide meal periods or compensation in lieu thereof (Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512), (2) Failure to Pay All Wages (Cal. Labor Code §§ 510, 1194), (3) Knowing and Intentional Failure to Comply With Itemized Employee Wage Statement Provisions (Cal. Labor Code § 226(a), (e), and Violations of Business and Professions Code § 17200.
- 2. On June 27, 2013, Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint, which added a second cause of action for Penalties Pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(f) for Violations of Labor Code §§ 226(a), 226.7, 510 and 1194.
- 3. On July 3, 2013, Defendant removed this matter to this Court based on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) ("CAFA") and diversity of citizenship.
- 4. On October 3, 2013, Plaintiff filed his Second Amended Complaint, which added a cause of action for Failure to Pay Wages Due at Separation of Employment (Cal. Labor Code §§ 201-203).
 - 5. Defendant answered the Second Amended Complaint on November 1, 2013.
- 6. The parties have met and conferred and agree that at this time the amount placed in controversy by Plaintiff's complaint does not meet the jurisdictional minimum (e.g. \$75,000 for Plaintiff's individual claims, or \$5,000,000 for Plaintiff's putative class claims pursuant to CAFA). Plaintiff has agreed he will not seek more than \$75,000 for plaintiff's individual claims or \$5 million for Plaintiff's putative class claims pursuant to CAFA once the case is remanded to state court.
- 7. The parties agree that the proposed remand herein shall not affect Defendant's right to remove the action again if Plaintiff tries to avoid this amount in controversy stipulation.

STIPULATION

NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defendant, by and through their attorneys of
record, hereby stipulate and agree that at this time there is less than \$75,000 in controversy
between Plaintiff individually and Defendant, and less than \$5,000,000 in controversy
between the proposed class and Defendant and, as a result, traditional diversity jurisdiction
and CAFA jurisdiction by this Court at this time are not appropriate. Based thereon, the
parties further stipulate that the action shall immediately be remanded to the Superior Court
for the State of California, County of San Joaquin.

SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 18, 2014

/s/ Alex P. Katofsky ALEX P. KATOFSKY GAINES & GAINES, APLC Attorneys for Plaintiff DANNY McCRARY

Dated: June 18, 2014

/s/ Barbara A. Cotter (as authorized on 6/4/14)

BARBARA A. COTTER COOK BROWN, LLP Attorneys for Defendant
CAMPBELL SOUP SUPPLY COMPANY

ORDER

This case is remanded to the Superior Court for the State of California, in the County of San Joaquin.

Dated: June 18, 2014

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.

Senior United States District Judge

2:13-cv-01332-GEB-KJN