(HC) Jones v	/. Brazelton	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CLIFTON JONES,	1:13-cv-01024-GSA (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
13	VS.	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	P. D. BRAZELTON	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	Respondent.	
16	/	
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to	
18	28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
19	§ 1915.	
20	The petitioner is challenging a conviction from Sacramento County, which is part of the	
21	Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.	
22	Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
23	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
24	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action	
25	will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to	
26	proceed in forma pauperis.	

Doc. 3

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis. 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Sacramento; and 3. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be filed at: **United States District Court** Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814 IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ **Gary S. Austin**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: <u>July 10, 2013</u>