1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	Scott Johnson,	No. 2:13-cv-01428-GEB-CKD
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND CONTINUING STATUS (PRETRIAL
11	Esmail Rahimian, in his individual and representative	SCHEDULING) CONFERENCE
12	capacity as Trustee Rahimian 2005 Family	
13	Revocable Living Trust; Parisa Rahimian, in her	
14	individual and representative capacity as Trustee	
15	Rahimian 2005 Family Revocable Living; Tiffany Le,	
16	an individual,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	The November 19, 2013 Order Continuing Status (Pretrial	
20	Scheduling) Conference schedul	ed a status conference in this case
21	on January 21, 2014, and req	uired the parties to file a joint
22	status report no later than	fourteen (14) days prior to the
23	scheduling conference. No stat	us report was filed as ordered.
24	Therefore, each part	ty is Ordered to Show Cause ("OSC")
25	in a writing to be filed no	later than January 24, 2014, why
26		osed against the party and/or the
27		5(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil
28	Procedure for failure to file	a timely status report. The written

1	response shall also state whether each party or the party's	
2	counsel is at fault, and whether a hearing is requested on the	
3	OSC. ¹ If a hearing is requested, it will be held on March 3,	
4	2014, at 9:00 a.m., just prior to the status conference, which is	
5	rescheduled to that date and time. A joint status report shall be	
6	filed no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the status	
7	conference.	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: January 15, 2014	
10		
11	Ambel E. Fundlik	
12	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge	
13	bonior onroca boates piperret saage	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	¹ "If the fault lies with the attorney, that is where the impact of sanction should be lodged. If the fault lies with the clients, that is where	
27	the impact of the sanction should be lodged." In re Sanction of Baker, 744 F.2d 1438, 1442 (10th Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 471 U.S. 1014 (1985).	
28	Sometimes the faults of attorneys, and their consequences, are visited upon clients. <u>Myers v. Shekter (In re Hill)</u> , 775 F.2d 1385, 1387 (9th Cir. 1985).	
	2	