

1 *Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in
2 dismissing pro se plaintiff’s complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended
3 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439,
4 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff’s failure to comply with local rule
5 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

6 Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED. Fed. R.
7 Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110.

8 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
9 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
10 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
11 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
12 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the
13 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
14 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
15 appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez*
16 *v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

17 Dated: December 10, 2013.

18 
19 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28