1 2	MICHAEL E. BREWER, Bar No. 177912 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Treat Towers	
	1255 Treat Boulevard, Suite 600	
3	Walnut Creek, CA 94597 Telephone: 925.932.2468	
4	Facsimile: 925.946.9809	
5	MICHAEL G. LEGGIERI, Bar No. 253791 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.	
6	500 Capitol Mall, Suite 2000 Sacramento, CA 95814	
7	Telephone: 916.830.7200 Facsimile: 916.848.0200	
8	Attorneys for Defendant	
9	ADVANCED CALL CENTER TECHNOLO LLC	OGIES,
10	DANIEL F. GAINES, Bar No. 251488	
11	ALEX P. KATOFSKY, Bar No. 202754 GAINES & GAINES, APLC	
12	21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980 Woodland Hills, CA 91367	
13	Telephone: 818.703.8985 Facsimile: 818.703.8984	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	QUIANNA RAY and MARQUIA TUCKER	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SACRAMENTO DIVISION	
19	QUIANNA RAY and MARQUIA	Case No. 2:13-CV-01472 KJM (DAD)
20	TUCKER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of	
21	the general public,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY
22	Plaintiff,	ALL PROCEEDINGS PENDING FINAL APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED CLASS
23	V.	ACTION SETTLEMENT IN CARL, ET AL. V. ADVANCED CALL CENTER
24	ADVANCED CALL CENTER TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, and DOES 1-50,	TECHNOLOGIES, LLC
25	inclusive,	
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		
SON, P.C.		

3 4

5 6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

ORDER

TTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Freat Towers 255 Treat Boulevard Suite 600 nut Creek, CA 94597

Plaintiffs Quianna Ray and Marquia Tucker ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Advanced Call Center Technologies, LLC ("ACT") (collectively "the Parties"), by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate and respectfully request that this action be stayed pending final approval of a proposed class action settlement in the case entitled Shelley Carl, et al. v. Advanced Call Center Technologies, LLC, Superior Court for the State of California, Sacramento County, Case No. 34-2013-00148310. The facts underlying the stipulation and request for a stay are as follows:

## RECITALS

- 1. On May 8, 2013, a putative class action lawsuit entitled *Devra Keokongchack v*. Advanced Call Center Technologies, LLC, U.S.D.C. Eastern District of California, Case No. 13cv1385 ("Keokongchack"), was filed against ACT. The Keokongchack lawsuit asserts claims for alleged violations of the California Labor Code and California Business & Professions Code.
- On June 13, 2013, Plaintiffs filed this lawsuit as a putative class action against ACT. This lawsuit asserts claims for alleged violations of the California Labor Code and California Business & Professions Code.
- 3. On July 19, 2013, a putative class action lawsuit entitled Shelley Carl, et al. v. Advanced Call Center Technologies, LLC, Superior Court for the State of California, Sacramento County, Case No. 34-2013-00148310 ("Carl"), was filed against ACT. The Carl lawsuit asserts claims for alleged violations of the California Labor Code and California Business & Professions Code.
- 4. On November 13, 2013, a private mediation was conducted with mediator Michael Dickstein to discuss a potential global resolution of *Keokongchack*, *Carl*, and this lawsuit.
- 5. On April 17, 2014, following continued assistance from mediator Michael Dickstein, the named plaintiffs in all three putative class actions and ACT finalized a memorandum of understanding for a proposed class action settlement in *Carl*, subject to approval by the Sacramento Superior Court.
- 6. Although ACT has agreed to a proposed class action settlement, ACT does not admit that it engaged in any unlawful conduct. The Parties agree that the proposed class action settlement shall not be construed as an admission by ACT that it has violated any statute, law, or regulation.

1 7. Counsel for the named plaintiffs in Carl has reserved a hearing date in June 2014 for 2 a motion for preliminary approval of the proposed class action settlement. 3 8. Plaintiffs have reviewed the terms of the proposed class action settlement in Carl and agree that they are fair, adequate, and reasonable. As a result, Plaintiffs have opted to participate in 4 5 the proposed class action settlement in *Carl* which, if approved by the Sacramento Superior Court, 6 would resolve and release their claims against ACT that are alleged in this lawsuit. 7 9. If, for whatever reason, the Sacramento Superior Court does not grant final approval 8 of the proposed class action settlement in Carl, and therefore Plaintiffs' claims are not resolved and 9 released through the proposed class action settlement, the Parties wish to maintain the status quo in 10 this action. 11 10. As of the date of this stipulation, no class has been certified in this action and no 12 notice has been sent to the putative class members. 13 11. As of the date of this stipulation, all previously set deadlines and hearings, except for 14 the April 18, 2014 deadline to file dispositional documents, have been vacated. 15 /// 16 /// 17 /// 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 /// ITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 2.

13CV1472

Treat Towers 255 Treat Boulevard Suite 600 Ilnut Creek, CA 94597

ORDER

## 1 **STIPULATION** 2 Accordingly, the Parties stipulate and respectfully request that this action be stayed pending 3 final approval of the proposed class action settlement in Shelley Carl, et al. v. Advanced Call Center 4 Technologies, LLC, Superior Court for the State of California, Sacramento County, Case No. 34-5 2013-00148310. The Parties will file a joint statement notifying the Court of the status of the 6 proposed class action settlement within three days of the Sacramento Superior Court's ruling on the 7 motion for final approval. 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 9 10 Dated: April 17, 2014 11 /s/ Michael E. Brewer MICHAEL E. BREWER 12 MICHAEL G. LEGGIERI LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 13 Attorneys for Defendant ADVANCED CALL CENTER 14 TECHNOLOGIES, LLC 15 Dated: April 17, 2014 16 /s/ *Alex P. Katofsky* [with permission] 17 DANIEL F. GAINES ALEX P. KATOFSKY 18 GAINES & GAINES, APLC Attorneys for Plaintiffs 19 QUIANNA RAY and MARQUIA TUCKER 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Treat Towers 1255 Treat Boulevard Suite 600 Walnut Creek, CA 94597 925,932,2468

28

ORDER

3.

13CV1472

## ORDER

Good cause appearing, the Parties' stipulation for a stay pending final approval of the proposed class action settlement in *Shelley Carl, et al. v. Advanced Call Center Technologies, LLC*, Superior Court for the State of California, Sacramento County, Case No. 34-2013-00148310, is **GRANTED**. The Parties are directed to file a joint statement notifying the Court of the status of the proposed class action settlement within three days of the Sacramento Superior Court's ruling on the motion for final approval.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 29, 2014.

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. Treat Towers 1255 Treat Boulevard Suite 600 Walnut Creek, CA 94597

4.

13CV1472