1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RANDY M. CORDERO,	No. 2:13-cv-1551 JAM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	NICK GUZMAN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
18	Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel	
19	to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490	
20	U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to	
21	voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d	
22	1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).	
23	When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's	
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro	

25

26

27

28

establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel at this time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 30) is denied without prejudice. Dated: December 12, 2013 cord1551.31(2) UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE