Doc. 8

Dockets.Justia.com

Hopson v. A.T. Diner, Inc. et al

- 2. Defendants have not yet served an Answer on Plaintiff.
- 3. Plaintiff and Defendants have entered into a settlement agreement to fully settle all claims arising out of the August 2011 incident.
- 4. The terms and conditions of such settlement agreement have been fully satisfied by Defendant.

Therefore, Plaintiff, as agreed to via the settlement agreement, now moves this court to dismiss such action with prejudice.

Date: November 7th, 2013.

/s/ Russell S. Humphrey By: Russell S. Humphrey Attorney for Plaintiff, CYNTHIA HOPSON

/s/Daniel Malakauskas By: Daniel Malakauskas, Attorney for Plaintiff, CYNTHIA HOPSON

ORDER

Upon reviewing, seeing, and reading all pertinent evidence and argument for Plaintiff's
Motion to Dismiss the Action with Prejudice, and having weighed such, IT IS HEREBY
ORDERED, that this action is dismissed with prejudice.

Dated: November 18, 2013

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT