(HC) Willis v. Lackner		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	AUSTIN BILLY WILLIS, III,	No. 2:13-CV-1599-TLN-CMK-P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	HEIDI H. LACKNER,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of	
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States	
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.	
20	On March 2, 2015, the Magistrate Judge filed amended findings and	
21	recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the	
22	parties may file objections within a specified time. No objections to the findings and	
23	recommendations have been filed. While Respondent has filed a "response" to the amended	
24	findings and recommendation, Respondent requests that they be adopted without prejudice to	
25	addressing the issue in full in the answer to the petition.	
26	The Court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
27	supported by the record and by the Magistrate Judge's analysis.	
28	///	
	1	

36

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The amended findings and recommendations filed March 2, 2015, are adopted in full; 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (Doc. 16) is denied without prejudice; 3. Petitioner's motion for a stay (Doc. 21) is denied as unnecessary; and Respondent is directed to file an answer to Petitioner's petition for a writ of 4. habeas corpus within 30 days of the date of this order. Dated: October 8, 2015 Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge