

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff’s motion appears to be untimely. Final judgment was entered on June 2, 2015. Plaintiff’s motion was filed on July 22, 2015. Because the motion is not dated and is not accompanied by a proof of service or any statement as to when it was delivered to prison officials for mailing, it is impossible to assign an earlier filing date pursuant to Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988).

In any event, plaintiff has not demonstrated grounds for reconsideration. Here, plaintiff’s case was dismissed without prejudice for lack of prosecution. Specifically, plaintiff failed to resolve the fee status for the case. Contrary to plaintiff’s argument that the court failed to properly consider whether dismissal was an appropriate sanction, a review of the record reflects that the court considered all the relevant factors. See Findings and Recommendations at Doc. 12.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff’s motion (Doc. 16) for reconsideration is denied.

DATED: October 15, 2015

/s/ John A. Mendez
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE