1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL HICKS,	No. 2:13-cv-1687 KJM CKD P (TEMP)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	BEHROZ HAMKAR et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge under 28	
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On December 3, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the court	
26	finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
27	/////	
28	/////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed December 3, 2015, are adopted in full; and
3	2. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief (Doc. No. 27) is denied.
4	DATED: March 4, 2016
5	100
6	Mulle
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2